INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in and variations from the responses set forth herein.

These responses, while based on diligent inquiry and investigation by Responding Party, reflect only the current state of Responding Party's knowledge, understanding, and belief, based upon the information reasonably available to him at this time. As this action proceeds, and further investigation and discovery are conducted, additional or different facts and information could be revealed to Responding Party. Moreover, Responding Party anticipates that Propounding Party may make legal or factual contentions presently unknown to and unforeseen by Responding Party which may require Responding Party to adduce further facts in rebuttal to such contentions. Consequently, Responding Party may not yet have knowledge and may not fully understand the significance of information potentially pertinent to these responses. Accordingly, these responses are provided without prejudice to Responding Party's right to rely upon and use any information that he subsequently discovers, or that was omitted from these responses as a result of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. Without in any way obligating himself to do so, Responding Party reserves the right to modify, supplement, revise, or amend these responses, and to correct any inadvertent errors or omissions which may be contained herein, in light of the information that Responding Party may subsequently obtain or discover.

Nothing in this response should be construed as an admission by Responding Party with respect to the admissibility or relevance of any fact or document, or of the truth or accuracy of any characterization or statement of any kind contained in Propounding Party's interrogatories.

Each of the following responses is made solely for the purpose of this action. Each response is subject to all objections as to relevance, materiality, and admissibility, and to any and all objections on any ground that would require exclusion of any response if it were introduced in court. All objections and grounds are expressly reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial, hearing, or otherwise. Furthermore, each of the objections contained herein is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in each response.

The following objections and responses are made without prejudice to Responding Party's right to produce at trial, or otherwise, evidence regarding any subsequently discovered information. Responding Party accordingly reserves the right to modify and amend any and all responses herein as research is completed and contentions are made.

Nothing contained herein is to be construed as a waiver of any attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine. To the extent any interrogatory may be construed as calling for disclosure of information protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other privilege or protection, a continuing objection to each and every such interrogatory is hereby interposed.

# **RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES**

# **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:**

State the name, **ADDRESS**, telephone number, and relationship to you of each **PERSON** who prepared or assisted in the preparation of the responses to these interrogatories. (Do not identify anyone who simply typed or reproduced the responses.)

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:**

Anthony J. Ellrod, Esq. Linna T. Loangkote, Esq., and Billy Mitchell, IV, Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP, 801 South Figueroa Street, 15th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017, (213) 624-6900.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.13:**

Within 24 hours before the **INCIDENT** did you or any person involved in the **INCIDENT** use or take any of the following substances: alcoholic beverage, marijuana, or other drug or medication of any kind (prescription or not)? If so, for each person state:

- (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number;
- (b) the nature or description of each substance;
- (c) the quantity of each substance used or taken;
- (d) the date and time of day when each substance was used or taken;
- (e) the **ADDRESS** where each substance was used or taken;
- (f) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each person who was present 4880-8501-6357.1

when each substance was used or taken; and

(g) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of any HEALTH CARE PROVIDER who prescribed or furnished the substance and the condition for which it was prescribed or furnished.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.13:**

Insofar as the responding party is aware, neither he nor any other person involved in the incident used or took any of the following substances within 24 hours of the incident: alcoholic beverage, marijuana, or other drug or medication of any kind.

# **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.1:**

State the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each individual:

- (a) who witnessed the **INCIDENT** or the events occurring immediately before or after the **INCIDENT**;
  - (b) who made any statement at the scene of the **INCIDENT**;
- (c) who heard any statements made about the **INCIDENT** by any individual at the scene; and
- (d) who **YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF** claim has knowledge of the **INCIDENT** (except for expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure section 2034).

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.1:**

- (a) The names of the persons with knowledge of the allegations set forth in the Cross-Complaint are: Walter Day, Jourdan Adler; Pete Bouvier (deceased); Robert Childs, Guinness World Records Limited; Seth Gordon; Ed Cunningham; Todd Rogers; Kimberly Mahoney; Brian Kuh; Robert Mruzcek; Isaiah Triforce Johnson; Carlos Pineiro; Steven Kleisath; Catherine Despira; Richie Knucklez; Hank Chien; Sheila Kinery; Joe Tortorella; Matt Furgal; Patrick Scott Patterson; Dwayne Richard; Wes Copeland; Robbie Lakeman; Joel West (deceased); Tim Sczerby; Chris Ayra; Joshuah Bearman; and Neil Hernandez. The contact information for these persons are unknown.
- (b) The names of the persons with knowledge of the allegations set forth in the Cross-Complaint are: Walter Day, Jourdan Adler; Pete Bouvier (deceased); Robert Childs, Guinness

state:

1	World Records Limited; Seth Gordon; Ed Cunningham; Todd Rogers; Kimberly Mahoney; Brian			
2	Kuh; Robert Mruzcek; Isaiah Triforce Johnson; Carlos Pineiro; Steven Kleisath; Catherine			
3	Despira; Richie Knucklez; Hank Chien; Sheila Kinery; Joe Tortorella; Matt Furgal; Patrick Scott			
4	Patterson; Dwayne Richard; Wes Copeland; Robbie Lakeman; Joel West (deceased); Tim			
5	Sczerby; Chris Ayra; Joshuah Bearman; and Neil Hernandez. The contact information for these			
6	persons are unknown.			
7	(c) The names of the persons with knowledge of the allegations set forth in the Cross-			
8	Complaint are: Walter Day, Jourdan Adler; Pete Bouvier (deceased); Robert Childs, Guinness			
9	World Records Limited; Seth Gordon; Ed Cunningham; Todd Rogers; Kimberly Mahoney; Brian			
10	Kuh; Robert Mruzcek; Isaiah Triforce Johnson; Carlos Pineiro; Steven Kleisath; Catherine			
11	Despira; Richie Knucklez; Hank Chien; Sheila Kinery; Joe Tortorella; Matt Furgal; Patrick Scott			
12	Patterson; Dwayne Richard; Wes Copeland; Robbie Lakeman; Joel West (deceased); Tim			
13	Sczerby; Chris Ayra; Joshuah Bearman; and Neil Hernandez. The contact information for these			
14	persons are unknown.			
15	(d) Responding Party.			
16	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.2:			
17	Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF interviewed any individual			
18	concerning the INCIDENT? If so, for each individual state:			
19	(a) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the individual interviewed;			
20	(b) the date of the interview; and			
21	(c) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> and telephone number of the <b>PERSON</b> who conducted the			
22	interview.			
23	RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.2:			
24	No.			
25	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.3:			
26	Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF obtained a written or			
27	recorded statement from any individual concerning the <b>INCIDENT</b> ? If so, for each statement			

(a)

4880-8501-6357.1

1

(a)

statement was obtained;

3	(b)	the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual who obtained the
4	statement;	
5	(c)	the date the statement was obtained; and
6	(d)	the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON who has the
7	original state	ment or a copy.
8	RESPONSE	TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.3:
9	No.	
0	FORM INT	ERROGATORY NO. 12.4:
1	Do Y	OU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF know of any photographs,
2	films, or vide	totapes depicting any place, object, or individual concerning the INCIDENT or
3	plaintiff's inju	uries? If so, state:
4	(a)	the number of photographs or feet of film or videotape;
5	(b)	the places, objects, or persons photographed, filmed, or videotaped;
6	(c)	the date the photographs, films, or videotapes were taken;
7	(d)	the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual taking the
8	photographs,	films, or videotapes; and
9	(e)	the name, ADDRESS and telephone number of each PERSON who has the
20	original or a	copy of the photographs, films, or videotapes.
21	RESPONSE	TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.4:
22	No.	
23	FORM INT	ERROGATORY NO. 12.5:
24	Do Y	OU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF know of any diagram,
25	reproduction,	or model of any place or thing (except for items developed by expert witnesses
26	covered by C	ode of Civil Procedure section 2034.210-2034.310) concerning the INCIDENT? If
27	so, for each i	tem state:

the type (i.e., diagram, reproduction, or model);

the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual from whom the

1	(b) the subject matter; and
2	(c) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of each <b>PERSON</b> who has it.
3	RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.5:
4	No.
5	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.6:
6	Was a report made by any PERSON concerning the INCIDENT? If so, state:
7	(a) the name, title, identification number, and employer of the <b>PERSON</b> who made the
8	report;
9	(b) the date and type of report made;
10	(c) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the <b>PERSON</b> for whom the report
11	was made; and
12	(d) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON who has the
13	original or a copy of the report.
14	RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.6:
15	No.
16	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.7:
17	Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF inspected the scene of the
18	INCIDENT? If so, for each inspection state:
19	(a) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the individual making the
20	inspection (except for expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure section 2034.210-
21	2034.310); and
22	(b) the date of the inspection.
23	RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.7:
24	No.
25	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.1:
26	Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF conducted surveillance of
27	any individual involved in the <b>INCIDENT</b> or any party to this action? If so, for each surveillance,
28	state:

1	(a) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the individual or party;
2	(b) the time, date, and place of the surveillance;
3	(c) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the individual who conducted the
4	surveillance; and
5	(d) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of each <b>PERSON</b> who has the
6	original or a copy of any surveillance photograph, film, or videotape.
7	RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.1:
8	No.
9	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.2:
10	Has a written report been prepared on the surveillance? If so, for each written report state:
11	(a) the title;
12	(b) the date;
13	(c) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the individual who prepared the
14	report; and
15	(d) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON who has the
16	original or a copy.
17	RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.2:
18	No.
19	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.1:
20	Do YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF contend that any PERSON
21	involved in the INCIDENT violated any statute, ordinance, or regulation and that the violation
22	was a legal (proximate) cause of the INCIDENT? If so, identify the name, ADDRESS, and
23	telephone number of each PERSON and the statute, ordinance, or regulation that was violated.
24	RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.1:
25	No.
26	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 15.1:
27	Identify each denial of a material allegation and each special or affirmative defense in you
28	pleadings and for each: 4880-8501-6357.1 8

PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL'S RESPONSE TO SECOND SET OF FORM INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

MANNING&KASS OD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LA ATTORNESS AT LOW		ŝΙ
ANNINGOKA RAMIREZ, TRE		=
ANNINGOKA RAMIREZ, TRE		岜
AMIRIA AMIRI ATTORNERS	SS	SS
AMIRIA AMIRI ATTORNERS	Š,	2
AMIRIA AMIRI ATTORNERS	Ť,	
AMIR AMIR ATORES	0	
3.2.		(R
MAN OD, R.	Z	A A
OD, W	A	~
0	$\mathbf{z}$	á
		2
E.		Œ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- state all facts upon which you base the denial or special or affirmative defense; (a)
- (b) state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have knowledge of those facts; and
- identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your denial or (c) special or affirmative defense, and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each DOCUMENT.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 15.1:**

# (Failure to State a Claim)

- The alter ego allegations providing the basis for Twin Galaxies LLC's Cross-(a) Complaint are untrue. Particularly the allegations that funds earned by Twin Galaxies were distributed to Responding Party and Walter Day without regard to debt payments to the corporation's creditors; that both Responding Party and Walter Day failed to segregate their personal funds from the funds of the corporation; and that Responding Party and Walter Day failed to obtain authority to issue stock. Further untrue are the allegations that Responding Party treated the scoreboard to fraudulently misrepresent his scores.
- (b) Responding Party and Walter Day who may be contacted through their respective counsels.
- Corporate documents produced by Responding Party in response to Twin Galaxies (c) LLC's Request for Production of Documents, Set One.

### (All Obligations Performed)

- Responding Party is not in breach of the Purchase Agreement identified in the (a) Cross-Complaint because it performed all obligations required by the Purchase Agreement.
- (b) Responding Party and Walter Day who may be contacted through their respective counsels.
- (c) The Purchase Agreement.

4880-8501-6357.1

1	(Adequate R	Remedy at Law)		
2	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
3		are continuing.		
4	(Attorneys'	Fees Barred)		
5	(a)	The Cross-Complaint fails to identify any statute or contractual provision by which		
6		allows Cross-Complaint to claim as damages attorneys' fees in this matter, and		
7		therefore, they should be barred.		
8	(b)	Purchase Agreement and Cross-Complaint.		
9	(c)	Responding Party, Propounding Party.		
10	(Authorizati	on)		
11	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
12		are continuing.		
13	(Breach of Contract)			
14	(a)	Responding Party is not in breach of the Purchase Agreement identified in the		
15		Cross-Complaint because it performed all obligations required by the Purchase		
16		Agreement. Moreover, any performance alleged to not have been performed were		
17		excused due to Cross-Complainant's breach of contract.		
18	(b)	Responding Party and Walter Day who may be contacted through their respective		
19		counsels.		
20	(c)	The Purchase Agreement.		
21	(Business Ju	dgment)		
22	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
23		are continuing.		
24	(Comparativ	ve Fault)		
25	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
26		are continuing.		
27	///			
28	///			

(b)

4880-8501-6357.1

counsels.

28

1	(Compliance	e with the Law)
2	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery
3		are continuing.
4	(Consent)	
5	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery
6		are continuing.
7	(Estoppel)	
8	(a)	Responding Party asserts that nearly all causes of action are barred on the basis of
9		prior contradicting representations made to Responding Party prior to filing of the
10		Cross-Complaint.
11	(b)	Responding Party, Jace Hall.
12	(c)	E-mails exchanged between Responding Party and Jace Hall.
13	(Extra-Cont	ractual Damages Barred)
14	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery
15		are continuing.
16	(Failure to S	erve Notice)
17	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery
18		are continuing.
19	(Fraud)	
20	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery
21		are continuing.
22	(Justification	n/Excuse)
23	(a)	Responding Party is not in breach of the Purchase Agreement identified in the
24		Cross-Complaint because it performed all obligations required by the Purchase
25		Agreement. Moreover, any performance alleged to not have been performed were
26		excused due to Cross-Complainant's breach of contract.

Responding Party and Walter Day who may be contacted through their respective

1	(c)	The Purchase Agreement.		
2	(Laches)			
3	(a)	The crux of the Cross-Complaint rests on a transaction that occurred years ago, and		
4		its claims were not asserted until Responding Party filed his Complaint against		
5		Cross-Complainant. The delay in the filing of the Cross-Complaint is unreasonable		
6	(b)	Responding Party and Propounding Party		
7	(c)	The Purchase Agreement and Cross-Complaint.		
8	(Lack of Dec	eption)		
9	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
10		are continuing.		
11	(Lack of Star			
12	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
13		are continuing.		
14				
15	(a)	Other than conclusory allegations provided for in the Cross-Complaint, Cross-		
16		Complainant has not shown any non de minimis injury or damages.		
17	(b)	Responding Party, Propounding Party, Water Day.		
18	(c)	Propounding Party's discovery responses and Cross-Complaint.		
19	(No Maliciou	s Intent)		
20	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
21		are continuing.		
22				
23	(a)	Responding Party is unaware of any facts at this time. Investigation and discovery		
24		are continuing.		
25				
26	(a)	Responding Party did not make any misrepresentations to Propounding Party in the		
27		sale of Twin Galaxies in 2013. Accordingly, no punitive damages are warranted.		
28	(b)	Responding Party and Propounding Party.		
	4880-8501-6357.1			
	PLAI	12 NTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL'S RESPONSE TO SECOND SET OF FORM TERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC		

Purchase Agreement and documents produced by Responding Party in response to

27

28

(c)

4880-8501-6357.1

knowledge of the facts; and

(c)

1

state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have

KASS	TRESTER LLP
MANNING	ELLROD, RAMIREZ,

	(d)	identify all <b>DOCUMENTS</b> and other tangible things that support your contention
and st	ate the r	name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each
DOC	UMENT	Γ or thing.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.1:**

No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.2:**

Do you contend that plaintiff was not injured in the **INCIDENT**? If so:

- (a) state all facts upon which you base your contention;
- (b) state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have knowledge of the facts; and
- identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your contention (c) and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each **DOCUMENT** or thing.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.2:**

Yes.

- (e) The alleged events supporting each alleged cause of action never occurred.
- (f) The names of the persons with knowledge of the allegations set forth in the Cross-Complaint are: Walter Day, Jourdan Adler; Pete Bouvier (deceased); Robert Childs, Guinness World Records Limited; Seth Gordon; Ed Cunningham; Todd Rogers; Kimberly Mahoney; Brian Kuh; Robert Mruzcek; Isaiah Triforce Johnson; Carlos Pineiro; Steven Kleisath; Catherine Despira; Richie Knucklez; Hank Chien; Sheila Kinery; Joe Tortorella; Matt Furgal; Patrick Scott Patterson; Dwayne Richard; Wes Copeland; Robbie Lakeman; Joel West (deceased); Tim Sczerby; Chris Ayra; Joshuah Bearman; and Neil Hernandez. The contact information for these persons are unknown.
- The documents supporting Responding Party's contention that the Cross-(g) Complainant was not injured will be produced in response to Propounding Party's First Demand for Production Request concurrently served, are already in possession of the Cross-Complainant, or are a matter of public record.

	1 D	1	l
	~		
	Ē		
20	ý,	3	
\$	RES		
2	_	(	>
Q	EF7.		N I
ž	E		ONEDS /
ZZ	$\geq$		ATTOR
	$\overset{\vee}{\simeq}$		l
Y	_	•	
4		3	
	R		
	Ξ		
	_	(	l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.3:**

Do you contend that the injuries or the extent of the injuries claimed by plaintiff as disclosed in discovery proceedings thus far in this case were not caused by the INCIDENT? If so, for each injury:

- (a) identify it;
- state all facts upon which you base your contention; (b)
- state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have (c) knowledge of the facts; and
- identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your contention (d) and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each **DOCUMENT** or thing.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.3:**

Yes; Responding Party contends that the "INCIDENT" alleged in the Cross-Complaint never occurred.

# **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.6:**

Do you contend that any part of the loss of earnings or income claimed by plaintiff in discovery proceedings thus far in this case was unreasonable or was not caused by the

### **INCIDENT?** If so:

- identify each part of the loss; (a)
- state all facts upon which you base your contention; (b)
- (c) state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have knowledge of the facts; and
- (d) identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your contention and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each **DOCUMENT** or thing.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.6:**

Yes; Responding Party contends that the "INCIDENT" alleged in the Cross-Complaint never occurred.

KASS	TRESTER LLP	
MANNING	ELLROD, RAMIREZ,	ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.7:**

Do you contend that any of the property damage claimed by plaintiff in discovery proceedings thus far in this case was not caused by the INCIDENT? If so:

- identify each item of property damage; (a)
- state all facts upon which you base your contention; (b)
- state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have (c) knowledge of the facts; and
- (d) identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your contention and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each

# **DOCUMENT** or thing.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.7:**

Yes; Responding Party contends that the "INCIDENT" alleged in the Cross-Complaint never occurred.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.8:**

Do you contend that any of the costs of repairing the property damage claimed by plaintiff in discovery proceedings thus far in this case were unreasonable? If so:

- identify each cost item; (a)
- (b) state all facts upon which you base your contention;
- state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have (c) knowledge of the facts; and
- (d) identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your contention and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each
- 23 **DOCUMENT** or thing.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.8:**

Yes; Responding Party contends that the "INCIDENT" alleged in the Cross-Complaint never occurred.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.9:**

Do YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF have any DOCUMENT (for 4880-8501-6357.1

example, insurance bureau index reports) concerning claims for personal injuries made before or after the **INCIDENT** by a plaintiff in this case? If so, for each plaintiff state:

- (a) the source of each **DOCUMENT**;
- (b) the date each claim arose;
- (c) the nature of each claim; and
- (d) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the **PERSON** who has each **DOCUMENT**.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 16.9:**

No.

# **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.1:**

For each agreement alleged in the pleadings:

- (a) identify each **DOCUMENT** that is part of the agreement and for each state the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has the **DOCUMENT**;
- (b) state each part of the agreement not in writing, the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** agreeing to that provision, and the date that part of the agreement was made;
- (c) identify all **DOCUMENTS** that evidence any part of the agreement not in writing and for each state the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has the **DOCUMENT**;
- (d) identify all **DOCUMENTS** that are part of any modification to the agreement, and for each state the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has the **DOCUMENT**;
- (e) state each modification not in writing, the date, and the name, **ADDRESS** and telephone number of each **PERSON** agreeing to the modification, and the date the modification was made;
- (f) identify all **DOCUMENTS** that evidence any modification of the agreement not in writing and for each state the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has the **DOCUMENT**.

# Attorers at Law

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.1:

Responding Party objects to the phrase "agreement alleged in the pleadings" as it is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Interrogatory assumes facts.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding Party is not aware of any agreements between himself and Propounding Party.

# **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.2:**

Was there a breach of any agreement alleged in the pleadings? If so, for each breach describe and give the date of every act or omission that you claim is the breach of the agreement.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.2:**

Responding Party objects to the phrase "agreement alleged in the pleadings" as it is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Interrogatory assumes facts.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding Party is not aware of any agreements between himself and Propounding Party.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.3:**

Was performance of any agreement alleged in the pleadings excused? If so, identify each agreement excused and state why performance was excused.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.3:**

Responding Party objects to the phrase "agreement alleged in the pleadings" as it is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Interrogatory assumes facts.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding Party is not aware of any agreements between himself and Propounding Party.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.4:**

Was any agreement alleged in the pleadings terminated by mutual agreement, release, accord and satisfaction, or novation? If so, identify each agreement terminated, the date of termination, and the basis of the termination.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.4:**

Responding Party objects to the phrase "agreement alleged in the pleadings" as it is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Interrogatory assumes facts.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding Party is not aware of any agreements between himself and Propounding Party.

# **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.5:**

Is any agreement alleged in the pleadings unenforceable? If so, identify each unenforceable agreement and state why it is unenforceable.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.5:**

Responding Party objects to the phrase "agreement alleged in the pleadings" as it is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Interrogatory assumes facts.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding Party is not aware of any agreements between himself and Propounding Party.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.6:**

Is any agreement alleged in the pleadings ambiguous? If so, identify each ambiguous agreement and state why it is ambiguous.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 50.6:**

Responding Party objects to the phrase "agreement alleged in the pleadings" as it is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Interrogatory assumes facts.

///

27 | / / / 28 | / / /

MANNING&KASS ELIROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LIP

VERIFICATION

### PROOF OF SERVICE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 S. Figueroa St, 15th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017-3012.

On August 24, 2022, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as **PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL'S RESPONSE TO SECOND SET OF FORM INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

David Tashroudian, Esq.	Attorney for Defendants
Mona Tashroudian, Esq.	Twin Galaxies
TASHROUDIAN ĹAŴ GROUP, APC	
12400 Ventura Blvd. Suite 300	
Studio City, CA 91604	
Telephone: (818) 561-7381	
Facsimile: (818) 561-7381	
Email: david@tashlawgroup.com	
Email: mona@tashlawgroup.com	
11 1	

**BY MAIL:** I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester LLP for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope was placed in the mail at Los Angeles, California.

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address shc@manningllp.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 24, 2022, at Los Angeles, California.

Steve Chang