1	Anthony J. Ellrod (State Bar No. 136574)			
2	<u>aje@manningllp.com</u> Linna Loangkote (State Bar No. 287480)			
3	<u>ltl@manningllp.com</u> MANNING & KASS			
4	ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP 801 S. Figueroa St, 15 th Floor			
5	Los Angeles, California 90017-3012 Telephone: (213) 624-6900			
6	Facsimile: (213) 624-6999 Attorneys for Plaintiff WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL			
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT- STANLEY MOSK			
10				
11				
12	WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL, Plaintiff, v. TWIN GALAXIES, LLC, Defendants.		Case No. 19STCV12592 [The Hon. WENDY CHANG - DEPT. 36] RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				4/11/2010
18			Action Filed:	4/11/2019
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY: Defendant Twin Galaxies, LLC			
20	RESPONDING PARTY:	Plaintiff William James Mitchell		
21	SET NO.: One			
22	Pursuant to Sections 2031.010, et seq., of the California Code of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff			
23	William James Mitchell ("Responding Party") submits these responses and objections to the			
24	Request for Production of Documents, Set Number One, propounded by Defendant Twin			
25	Galaxies, LLC ("Propounding Party").			
26	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT			
27	Responding Party has not completed his investigation of the facts relating to this case, his			
28	discovery or his preparation for trial. All responses and objections contained herein are based only			
	4866-9453-7509.1 1 RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION			
			Y DEFENDANT TWIN	
	. 1			

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP ATTORED ALLOW upon information that is presently available to and specifically known by Responding Party. It is
 anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and analysis will
 supply additional facts and add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual
 conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in and
 variations from the responses set forth herein.

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP Attrusts at Law

6 These responses, while based on diligent inquiry and investigation by Responding Party, 7 reflect only the current state of Responding Party's knowledge, understanding, and belief, based 8 upon the information reasonably available to him at this time. As this action proceeds, and further 9 investigation and discovery are conducted, additional or different facts and information could be 10 revealed to Responding Party. Moreover, Responding Party anticipates that Propounding Party may make legal or factual contentions presently unknown to and unforeseen by Responding Party 11 12 which may require Responding Party to adduce further facts in rebuttal to such contentions. 13 Consequently, Responding Party may not yet have knowledge and may not fully understand the 14 significance of information potentially pertinent to these responses. Accordingly, these responses 15 are provided without prejudice to Responding Party's right to rely upon and use any information 16 that he subsequently discovers, or that was omitted from these responses as a result of mistake, 17 inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. Without in any way obligating himself to do so, 18 Responding Party reserves the right to modify, supplement, revise, or amend these responses, and 19 to correct any inadvertent errors or omissions which may be contained herein, in light of the 20 information that Responding Party may subsequently obtain or discover.

Nothing in this response should be construed as an admission by Responding Party with
respect to the admissibility or relevance of any fact or document, or of the truth or accuracy of any
characterization or statement of any kind contained in Propounding Party's Requests.

Each of the following responses is made solely for the purpose of this action. Each response is subject to all objections as to relevance, materiality, and admissibility, and to any and all objections on any ground that would require exclusion of any response if it were introduced in court. All objections and grounds are expressly reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial, hearing, or otherwise. Furthermore, each of the objections contained herein is incorporated 4866-9453-7509.1 2

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC 1 by reference as though fully set forth in each response.

The following objections and responses are made without prejudice to Responding Party's
right to produce at trial, or otherwise, evidence regarding any subsequently discovered
information. Responding Party accordingly reserves the right to modify and amend any and all
responses herein as research is completed and contentions are made.

Nothing contained herein is to be construed as a waiver of any attorney-client privilege,
work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine. To the extent any
interrogatory may be construed as calling for disclosure of information protected from discovery
by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other privilege or protection, a
continuing objection to each and every such interrogatory is hereby interposed.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Responding Party generally objects to the Requests for Production as follows:

A. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that
they seek to elicit information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action, nor
reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;

B. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that
they are unreasonably overbroad in scope, and thus burdensome and oppressive, in that each such
request seeks information pertaining to items and matters that are not relevant to the subject matter
of this action, or, if relevant, so remote therefrom as to make its disclosure of little or no practical
benefit to Propounding Party, while placing a wholly unwarranted burden and expense on
Responding Party in locating, reviewing and producing the requested information;

22 C. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that 23 they are burdensome and oppressive, in that ascertaining the information necessary to respond to them, and to produce documents in accordance therewith, would require the review and 24 25 compilation of information from multiple locations, and voluminous records and files, thereby involving substantial time of employees of Responding Party and great expense to Responding 26 27 Party, whereas the information sought to be obtained by Propounding Party would be of little use 28 or benefit to Propounding Party; 4866-9453-7509.1

11

D. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that they are vague, uncertain, overbroad, and without limitation as to time or specific subject matter;

3 E. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that
4 they seek information at least some of which is protected by the attorney-client privilege or the
5 attorney work-product doctrine, or both;

F. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that
they seek to have Plaintiff furnish information and identify documents that are a matter of the
public record, and therefore are equally available to the Propounding Party as they are to
Responding Party; and

10 G. Responding Party objects generally to the Requests for Production to the extent that
11 they seek to have Responding Party furnish information and identify documents that are
12 proprietary to Responding Party and contain confidential information.

Without waiver of the foregoing, Responding Party further responds as follows:

RESPONSES TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 2 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Twin Galaxies defamed me by issuing a
press release claiming as a fact that I did not achieve my Donkey Kong records on "original
unmodified" hardware."

20 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1</u>**:

21 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 22 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 23 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 24 25 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 26 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 27 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 28 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

13

14

15

4866-9453-7509.1

1

Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

4 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
5 Paragraph 2 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "I am a professional videogame player,
6 mostly known for achieving world records on Pac-Man and Donkey Kong."

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them.

13 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 3 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Throughout the 1980's, I achieved other
records on games such as Ms. Pac-Man, Donkey Kong Jr., Centipede, BurgerTime, and Pac-Man.
I achieved these records in public venues under the observation of Twin Galaxies personnel,
including the former owner of Twin Galaxies, Walter Day."

19 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

28

4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

3

1

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 4 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "In my time away from videogames, I
undertook several business ventures. My most successful start-up occurred in my hot sauce
company called 'Rickey's Hot Sauce.' I started the company in 1980, building it into a successful
small business in the State of Florida. I continued to grow the company from 1980 to the present
day."

8 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

19 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

20 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in

21 Paragraph 5 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "On July 3, 1999, under the observation of

22 Funspot staff and third-party witnesses, I achieved the first `Perfect Pac-Man Game,' which

23 became the new world-record, and it brought me significant attention and notoriety."

24 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u>
 <u>RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION</u>

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

4 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
5 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
6 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

8 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
9 Paragraph 6 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Until 2014, Twin Galaxies adjudicated
10 records through two methods: 1) on-site referee adjudication and 2) videotape adjudication."

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

21 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

22 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

- 23 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
- 24 Paragraph 6 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Twin Galaxies verified my Pac-man record
- 25 through on-site referee adjudication via the Funspot Arcade staff."
- 26 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7</u>**:
- 27 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
- 28oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
4866-9453-7509.17

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

7

identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

6 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
7 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
8 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

9 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including any actual physical
awards or plaques, that support the contention in Paragraph 6 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration
that: "Then, NAMCO, the Japanese company who created Pac-Man, adjudicated my record via
videotape."

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession as Responding Party donated
all actual physical awards or plaques obtained from his videogame career to the International
Videogame Hall of Fame located in Ottumwa, Iowa.

27 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9**:

 28
 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including any actual physical

 4866-9453-7509.1
 8

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

awards or plaques, that support the contention in Paragraph 7 of your June 22, 2020, Declaration
 that: "On September 17, 1999, on behalf of the Japanese Amusement Machine and Manufacturing
 Association, NAMCO proclaimed me as the "Video Game Player of the Century."

4 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession as Responding Party donated
all actual physical awards or plaques obtained from his videogame career to the International
Videogame Hall of Fame located in Ottumwa, Iowa.

17 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 9 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "On or about December 28, 2004, I achieved

20 a Donkey Kong high-score of 1,047,200 points at Arcade Game Sales — an experienced vendor of
21 arcade machines in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida."

22 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10</u>**:

23 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 28 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION МІТСН **RESPONSE OF PI**

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 || Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

6 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
7 Paragraph 9 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "I achieved this score inside the company
8 showroom on a legitimate Donkey Kong arcade machine which contained an original unmodified
9 PCB, and Arcade Game Sales publicly acknowledged this fact to Twin Galaxies before the
10 defamatory statements."

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

22 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

- 23 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
- 24 Paragraph 12 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "In January, 2007, I wanted to retake the
- 25 Donkey Kong world record in preparation for the release of *The King of Kong: A Fistful of*
- 26 *Quarters*. As a result, I notified Twin Galaxies of my goal."

27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12**:

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 10

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

5

oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

10 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 12 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that you, William James Mitchell, accepted an
invitation to a 1980's themed convention hosted by the Florida Association of Mortgage Brokers
scheduled for July 2007.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13</u>:

16 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 17 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 18 19 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 20 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 21 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 22 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 23 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 24 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control 25 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

26 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

- 27 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
- 28 Paragraph 13 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Twin Galaxies strictly outlined the 4866-9453-7509.1 11

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

adjudication process for my record attempt. It outlined that the attempt required 1) on-site referee
 adjudication and 2) hardware verified by the Senior Engineer at Nintendo (the creators of Donkey
 Kong)."

4 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

15 **D**

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 13 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "[I]n June 2007, Twin Galaxies facilitated
the hardware verification with the Senior Engineer at Nintendo, Mr. Wayne Shirk. Twin Galaxies
personally coordinated the verification, ensuring that I never gained access to the hardware in
order to indisputably secure the veracity of the attempt."

21 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15**:

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 23 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 24 25 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 26 27 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 28 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 4866-9453-7509.1 MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI**

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

4 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16**:

5 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
6 Paragraph 13 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "After verification, Shirk mailed the
7 hardware directly to the Mortgage Brokers, who input it into the Donkey Kong machine before
8 locking the machine entirely. At no point did I gain access to the hardware."

9 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

20 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in

22 Paragraph 14 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "I arrived at the Mortgage Brokers

- 23 Convention on Thursday, July 12, 2007. I failed in my first day of attempts on Friday, July
- 24 13.Then, on Saturday, July 14, I achieved the new Donkey Kong record of 1,050,200 points. Todd
- 25 Rogers and Kimberly Mahoney immediately documented the record and confirmed it."

26 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17</u>**:

27

21

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,

28 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 4866-9453-7509.1 13

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

6 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
7 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
8 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 16 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Following my record, the Mortgage
Brokers returned the hardware to Shirk for reverification, and Shirk confirmed that the hardware
remained legitimate throughout the performance. Following this re-verification, Shirk mailed me
the hardware through UPS, and to this day, I retain the box of this final shipment with the
associated shipping label and tracking number.

16 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

27 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

28 Produce for inspection the box and associated shipping label and tracking number for the 4866-9453-7509.1 14

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

UPS shipment of hardware to you by Wayne Shirk as referenced in Paragraph 16 of your June 22,
 2020, a photo of which is attached to the declaration as Exhibit 14.

3

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce a photocopy of the box with the associated shipping label and
tracking number that it believes are responsive to this Request.

14 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 33 of your June 22, 2020
Declaration that: "Sometime between February 3 and February 6, I called Jason Hall, and I denied
the allegations to him directly, clearly stating that I achieved my records on legitimate hardware."

19 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20</u>**:

20 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 21 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 22 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 24 25 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 27 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

28 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 4866-9453-7509.1 15

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

BLLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP ATTORNALLW 1 requested document or thing has never existed.

2 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 33 of your June 22, 2020
Declaration that: "On or about February 24, 2018, I engaged in my first significant contact with
Twin Galaxies. I spoke in a phone conversation with Jason Hall."

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 49 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Hall, Young, and the Dispute Thread
alleged that I utilized "MAME" emulation in my records. However, the specific version of MAME
was not created until AFTER I achieved my 1,047,200 record."

23 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u>
 <u>16</u>

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of
 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing was never in the possession, custody, or control of Responding Party
as all responsive documents are published on https://www.mamedev.org/oldrel.html.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

8 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
9 phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 50 of your June 22, 2020
10 Declaration that: "On or about March 19, 2018, I received a phone call from Walter Day — the
11 former owner of Twin Galaxies."

12 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

23 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 51 of your June 22, 2020
Declaration that: "In July 2019, I received a phone call from Mr. Dean Wenzel."

27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24**:

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 17

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

10 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 60 of your June 22, 2020
Declaration that: "On March 31, 2018, I had my second phone call with Jason Hall."

14 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

23 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

- 24 that it believes are responsive to this Request.
- 25 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

26 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to

- 27 phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 60 of your June 22, 2020
- 28 Declaration that: "Hall and I spoke on the phone on April 3, April 8, and April 11, 2018." 4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

9 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
10 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
11 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

12 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS collected pursuant to you in
Paragraph 73 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Therefore, I spent my time, away from
work, traveling to collect all available evidence. It became a financially exhaustive and timeconsuming process, which took about 16 months."

17

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

28

4866-9453-7509.1

19

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1

6

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS referenced in Paragraph 84 of
your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "When I achieved my Donkey Kong records, Twin Galaxies
sent its own referees to adjudicate my records on the spot. These referees were Ms. Kimberly
Mahoney and Mr. Todd Rogers."

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

17 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 100 of your June 22, 2020
Declaration that: "As reviewed in Paragraph 51, in July 2019, I received a text message

21 conversation from Mr. Dean Wenzel..."

22 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29**:

23 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 28 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 4866-9453-7509.1 MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PL** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 || Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

5 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
phone & text message records, payment records, and draft reports, relating to your hiring of
Robert Lakeman as you contend in Paragraph 107 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

20 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

21 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in

22 Paragraph 124 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "My business as a professional videogame

23 player involves movie appearances and public appearances at videogame festivals."

24 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
<u>4866-9453-7509.1</u>

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

4 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
5 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
6 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 124 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Upon the release of the Twin Galaxies
press release on April 12, 2018, my manager immediately received a cancellation from the Long
Island Retro Game Festival; this immediately resulted in \$5,000 in special damages."

12 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

20 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply
21 because the requested document or thing was never in the possession, custody, or control of
22 Responding Party.

23 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 124 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "Other videogame festivals who annually
invited me to their festivals ceased contact, such as Classic Game Fest and Chicago Pinball Expo."

- 27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33**:
- 28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 22

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items never existed, or are no longer in his possession because Responding Party lost his
relationship with these videogame festivals as a result of Propounding Party's defamatory
statements.

12 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 124 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "I lost television deals as a result of the
Twin Galaxies statements. I lost a television deal for a series called "Chasing Games," which
would have amounted to \$30,000."

17

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing was never in the possession, custody, or control of Responding Party.

4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 2

6

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS that support the contention in
Paragraph 124 of your June 22, 2020 Declaration that: "With all events considered, I lost \$133,000
in 2018. The damages continued in 2019, where I lost \$68,000. In total, the Twin Galaxies
Statements cost me \$201,000 to my career as a professional videogame player and actor."

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

17 **DE**

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to the text message
conversation provided to you by Todd Rogers as you contend in Paragraph 132 of your June 22,
200 Declaration.

21 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36**:

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 23 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 24 25 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 26 27 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 28 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 4866-9453-7509.1 MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI**

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

4 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37**:

5 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
6 & COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Thomas Fisher's April
7 | 22, 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 2.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

19 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Corey Sawyers' undated
declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 3.

23 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u> <u>25</u>
 RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of
 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Ken Sweet's April 12,
2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 4.

10 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

18 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

19 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

- 20 that it believes are responsive to this Request.
- 21 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:
- 22 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
- 23 & COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Randy Lawton's April 22,
- 24 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 5.
- 25 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40</u>**:
- 26 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
- 27 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
- 28 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 4866-9453-7509.1 26

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items never existed, or are no longer in his possession as Responding Party obtained the
declaration of Randy Lawton in physical format in person at Funspot in Laconia, New Hampshire.

9 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
 & COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Todd Rogers' October 20,
 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 9.

13 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41</u>**:

14 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 15 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 16 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 17 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 18 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 19 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 20 21 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

24 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
 & COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Kimberly Mahoney's
 September 30, 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as
 Exhibit 10.
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u>
 <u>27</u>
 RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

9 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
10 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
11 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

12 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Valerie Saunders'
October 16, 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as
Exhibit 11.

17

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

28

4866-9453-7509.1

28

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

1

5

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Sheila Kiniry's April 4,
2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 12.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

16 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Richard Mallion's

September 12, 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as
Exhibit 13.

21 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45**:

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 23 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 25 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 26 27 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 28 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 4866-9453-7509.1 MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PL** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

4 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46**:

5 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
6 & COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Enzo Celani's April 5,
7 | 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 15.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46</u>:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

16 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

17 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

18 requested items never existed, or are no longer in his possession as Responding Party obtained

19 only a physical copy of Enzo Celani's executed April 5, 2019 declaration.

20 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PI

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Matt Furgal's April 3,

23 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 16.

24 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items never existed, or are no longer in his possession as Responding Party obtained
only a physical copy of Matt Furgal's executed April 3, 2019 declaration.

8 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48**:

9 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
10 & COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Joe Tortorella's April 9,
11 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 17.

12 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

23 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Neil Hernandez's January
1, 2020 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 42.

27 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49</u>**:

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 31

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items never existed, or are no longer in his possession as Responding Party received the
Declaration of Neil Hernandez on January 1, 2020, when Hernandez physically brought the
executed declaration to Responding Party.

12 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including all draft declarations
& COMMUNICATIONS with the witness, relating to the preparation of Shawn Jones' September
25, 2019 declaration, a copy of which is attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 49.

16 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

27 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

 28
 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS supporting your claim for special

 4866-9453-7509.1
 32

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

damages as reflected in the schedule attached to your June 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 50. 1

2 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:**

3 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 4 5 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 6 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 7 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 8 9 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

10 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control 11 12 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

13

14 Produce for inspection all non-privileged purchase orders and corresponding invoices by 15 year from January 1, 2015 to the present for each of the customers of Rickey's Hot Sauce as those 16 customers are reflected in the Rickey's Sales by Customer Summary Report attached to your June 17 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 55.

18 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:**

19 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 20 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 21 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 22 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 24 25 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 26 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

27 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:**

28 Produce for inspection all non-privileged purchase orders and corresponding invoices by 4866-9453-7509.1

MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

year from January 1, 2015 to the present for each of the customers of Rickey's Hot Sauce as those
 customers are reflected in the Rickey's Sales by Customer Summary Report attached to your June
 22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 56.

4 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53</u>**:

5 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 6 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 7 8 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 9 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 10 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 11 12 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

13 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged purchase orders and corresponding invoices by
year from January 1, 2015 to the present for each of the customers of Rickey's Hot Sauce as those
customers are reflected in the Rickey's Sales by Customer Summary Report attached to your June
22, 2020 Declaration as Exhibit 57.

18 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54**:

19 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 20 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 21 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 22 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 24 25 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26

27 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55**:

28 Produce for inspection the electronic Quickbooks file, or the electronic file from an 4866-9453-7509.1 34

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC equivalent or other bookkeeping software, for Rickey's Hot Sauce for every year from January 1,
 2015 through the present.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55</u>:

4 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 5 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 6 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 7 8 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 9 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 10 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 11 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

12 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56**:

Produce for inspection the general ledger for Rickey's Hot Sauce for every year from
January 1, 2015 through the present.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

16 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 17 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 18 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 19 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 20 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 21 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 22 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 23 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 24 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 25 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

26 requested document or thing has never existed.

27 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57**:

 28
 Produce for inspection a profit and loss statement on a cash basis for Rickey's Hot Sauce

 4866-9453-7509.1
 35

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

15

2 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57</u>**:

3 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 4 5 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 6 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 7 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 8 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 9 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 10 *Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

Produce for inspection the balance sheet of Rickey's Hot Sauce for every year fromJanuary 1, 2015 through the present.

17 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58**:

18 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 19 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 20 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 21 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 22 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 23 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 24 25 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 27 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

28 requested document or thing has never existed. 4866-9453-7509.1

866-9453-7509.1 <u>36</u> RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

2 Produce for inspection all non-privileged bank account statements for Rickey's Hot Sauce
3 for every year from January 1, 2015 through the present.

4 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59</u>**:

5 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 6 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 7 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 8 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 9 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 10 11 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 12 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

13 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to
phone & text message records, that support the contention in Paragraph 24 of your September 24,
2020 you called Jace Hall on April 5, 2018.

17 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60**:

18 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 19 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 20 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 21 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 22 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 23 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 24 *Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 25 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

26 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

- 27 requested document or thing has never existed.
- 28

4866-9453-7509.1

37

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

5

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any
COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Jeremy
Young.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

16 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

18 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Steve

19 Wiebe

17

20 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62**:

21 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 22 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 24 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 25 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 26 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 27 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 28 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
 requested document or thing has never existed.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

5 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Brian6 Kuh.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

8 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 9 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 10 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 11 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 12 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 13 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 14 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 15 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

16 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

18 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Robert

19 Mruzcek.

17

20 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64**:

21 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 22 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 23 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 24 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 25 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 26 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 27 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 28 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

3

4

Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
 requested document or thing has never existed.

3 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

5 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Isaiah
6 Triforce Johnson.

7 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66**:

19 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

20 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Robert 21 Childs.

22 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66**:

23 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 24 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 25 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 26 27 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 28 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI** MITCHELL OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

- 1 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
- 2 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

3 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

5 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and David
6 Race.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68**:

19 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

20 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Carlos 21 Pineiro.

22 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u>
 <u>41</u>

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

4

1 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

5 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69**:

6 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any
7 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2020 between you and Steven
8 Kleisath.

9 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.
Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

18 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

19 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

20 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:

21 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

22 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2020 between you and Catherine

23 Despira.

24 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

4 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
5 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
6 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:

8 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any
9 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2020 between you and Seth
10 Gordon.

11 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71**:

12 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 13 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 14 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 15 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 16 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 17 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 18 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 19 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 20 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 21 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 22 requested document or thing has never existed.

23 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 72**:

24 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

- 25 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2020 between you and Ed
- 26 Cunningham.

27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 72**:

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 43

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

10 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 73:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

12 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Mark13 Alpiger.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 73</u>:

15 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 16 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 17 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 18 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 19 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 20 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 21 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 22 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 23 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 24 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 25 requested document or thing has never existed. 26 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 74:** 27 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 28 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Todd 4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

11

1 Rogers.

2 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 74</u>**:

3 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 4 5 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 6 7 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 8 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 9 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 10 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

11

12

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 75:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

13 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and

14 Morningdove Mahoney.

15 **R**

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 75:

16 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 17 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 18 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 19 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 20 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 21 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 22 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 23 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 24 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 76**: 25 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 26 COMMUNICATION from 1999 through the present between you and Greg Erway. 27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 76:**

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 45

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP ATTORNALING oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is
overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

8 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 77**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

10 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Richie11 Knucklez.

12 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 77</u>**:

13 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 14 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 15 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 16 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 17 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 18 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 19 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 20 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is 21 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence. 22 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 78:** 23 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 24 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Sheila 25 Kiniry. 26 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 78:** 27 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 28 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 79:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

9 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 1999 through the present between you and Walter Day.

10 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 79**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

19 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

20 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

21 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 80:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

23 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through the present between you and Robbie

24 Lakeman.

25 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 80**:

26 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,

- 27 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
- 28 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 4866-9453-7509.1 47

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

7

8

18

1 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 2 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 3 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 4 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 81:

6 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 7 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Joel 8 West.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 81:

10 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 11 12 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 13 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 14 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 15 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 16 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 17 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is 18 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

19 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 82:**

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

21 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and William Mitchell Jr. 22

48 MITCHELL FOR PRODUCTION RESPONSE OF OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

5

9

20

23

24

25

26

27

28

4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 82:

2 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 3 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 4 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 5 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party objects to this 6 Request on the grounds that it seeks to invade the attorney-client privilege and work-product 7 doctrine. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents 8 already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The 9 Request improperly seeks information of Responding Party and a third-party protected by 10 constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the 11 12 discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

13 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83:**

14 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 15 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between

15 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Steve16 Sanders.

17

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 18 19 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 20 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 21 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 22 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 23 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 24 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 25 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is 26 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence. 27 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83:**

28 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 4866-9453-7509.1 49

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

BLLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP AMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

3

COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Neil Hernandez.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 83:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

14 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 84**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS, relating to any

16 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Craig17 Glenday.

18 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 84**:

19 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 20 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 21 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 22 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 23 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 24 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 25 § 1; Vallev Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 27 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control 28 that it believes are responsive to this Request. 4866-9453-7509.1 50

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP AURONALIAN TRESTER LLP

5

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 85:

2 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 3 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between your lawyers 4 and Guinness World Records.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 85:

6 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 7 8 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 9 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 10 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 11 12 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

13 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 14 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control 15 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

16 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 86:**

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

18 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2015 between you and Jourdan 19 Adler.

20

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 86:

21 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 22 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 24 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 25 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 26 27 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 28 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 4866-9453-7509.1

TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that
 has passed since these communications were allegedly exchanged.

4 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 87:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

6 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2015 between you and Pete
7 Bouvier.

8 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 87</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of
Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

16 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

17 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

18 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that

19 has passed since these communications were allegedly exchanged.

20 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 88:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

22 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Thomas
23 Fisher.

24 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 88</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

21

and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

4 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
5 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
6 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 89:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any

9 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Shiela
10 Kinry.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 89:

12 Responding Party objects to this Request as this Request has been asked and answered in 13 Request for Production No. 78. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is 14 overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds 15 that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds 16 that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party 17 further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of 18 Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks 19 information of Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. 20 Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 21 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 90:** 22 Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any 23 COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Valerie

24 Saunders.

25 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 90**:

26 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,

- 27 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
- 28 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 4866-9453-7509.1 53

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

7

8

this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

8 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 91**:

Produce for inspection all DOCUMENTS and things from Senior Nintendo Engineer
Wayne Shirk that confirms or verifies the Arcade Donkey Kong board used by you to achieve the
1,050,200 Donkey Kong score at the Florida Association of Mortgage Brokers Annual Trade
Show on Friday, July 13, 2007 is original and unmodified.

13 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 91</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

23 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

24 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 92**:

Produce for inspection all DOCUMENTS and COMMUNICATIONS between you and
Jace Hall that relate to you asking Hall to specifically interview Sheila Kiniry, Joe Tortorella, Matt
Furgal, or Enzo Celani at any point prior to April 12, 2018.

28

4866-9453-7509.1

54

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 92:

2 Responding Party objects to this Request as this Request has been asked an answered in 3 Request for Production No. 78. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is 4 overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds 5 that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds 6 that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party 7 further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of 8 Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks 9 information of Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 10

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 93:

Produce for inspection all DOCUMENTS and COMMUNICATIONS that demonstrate
you provided Jace Hall with contact information for Sheila Kiniry, Joe Tortorella, Matt Furgal, or
Enzo Celani at any point prior to April 12, 2018.

18 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 93**:

19 Responding Party objects to this Request as this Request has been asked an answered in 20 Request for Production No. 78. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is 21 overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds 22 that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds 23 that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party 24 further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of 25 Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks 26 information of Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. 27 Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 28 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 4866-9453-7509.1

Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
 requested document or thing has never existed.

3 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 94**:

Produce for inspection all non-privileged DOCUMENTS relating to any
COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 evidencing any of the
emotional distress you claim you suffered as result of the acts set forth in your First Amended
Complaint.

8 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 94</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

19 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 95:

20 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
21 January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Mark Alpiger.

22 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 95:**

23 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 24 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 25 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 26 27 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 28 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 4866-9453-7509.1 мітсн TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI**

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 96:

Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Todd Rogers.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 96</u>:

10 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 11 12 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 13 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 14 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 15 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 16 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 17 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 97**:

Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Morningdove Mahoney.

21 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 97</u>**:

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 23 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 28 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI** мітсн OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

6

2 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 98:

3 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
4 1999 through the present between you and Greg Erway.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 98</u>:

6 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 7 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 8 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 9 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 10 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 11 12 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 13 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

14 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 99**:

Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Richie Knucklez.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 99:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 18 19 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 20 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 21 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 22 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 23 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 24 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 25 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 100:** 27 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from

 28
 January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Sheila Kinry.

 4866-9453-7509.1
 58

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

17

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 100:

2 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 3 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 4 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 5 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 6 7 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 8 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 9 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

10 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 101:

Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
January 1, 1999 through the present between you and Walter Day.

13 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 101</u>**:

14 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 15 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 16 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 17 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 18 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 19 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 20 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 21 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 22 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

23 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

24 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 102:

- 25 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
- 26 January 1, 2017 through the present between you and Robbie Lakeman.

27 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 102</u>**:

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 59

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is
overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

8 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 103**:

9 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
10 January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Joel West.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 103:

12 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 13 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 14 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 15 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 16 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 17 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 18 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 19 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 104:** 20

21 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from 22 January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and William Mitchell Jr.

23 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 104</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is
 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u>
 <u>RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC</u>

and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is
 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 105:

6 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
7 January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Steve Sanders.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 105</u>:

9 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 10 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 11 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 12 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 13 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 14 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 15 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 16 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is 17 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

18 **D**

5

8

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 106:

Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from
January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Neil Hernandez.

21 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 106</u>**:

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 23 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 28 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is 2 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

3

5

6

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 107:

4 Produce for inspection all telephone records evidencing any COMMUNICATION from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020 between you and Craig Glenday.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 107:

7 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 8 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 9 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 10 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 11 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 12 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 13 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 14 § 1; Vallev Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

15 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 16 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 17 requested document or thing has never existed.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 108:**

19 Produce for inspection all video recordings of you and Benjamin Smith, also known as 20 Apollo Legend, together from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020.

21 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 108:**

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 23 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 28 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF P** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 || § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

5 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 109:

6 Produce for inspection all video recordings of you and Carlos Pineiro together from
7 January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020.

8 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 109</u>**:

9 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 10 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 11 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 12 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 13 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 14 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 15 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 16 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

20 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 110:

Produce for inspection all video recordings of you and Steven Kleisath together from
January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2020.

23 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 110</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is
 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u>63
 RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

4 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
5 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
6 requested document or thing has never existed.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 111:

8 Produce for inspection all photographs of you achieving your 1,047,200 (the King of Kong
9 "tape") Donkey Kong score performance.

10 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 111**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that
has passed since these photographs may have been taken.

22 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 112:

- 23 Produce for inspection all photographs of you achieving your 1,050,200 (the Mortgage
- 24 Brokers score) score performance.

25 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 112</u>**:

- 26 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
- 27 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
- 28 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 4866-9453-7509.1 64

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 2 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 3 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 4

5 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 6 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 7 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that 8 has passed since these photographs may have been taken.

9 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 113:**

10 Produce for inspection all photographs of you achieving your 1,062,800 (the Boomers score) score performance. 11

12 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 113:**

13 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 14 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 15 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 16 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 17 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 18 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 19 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

20 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 21 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 22 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that 23 has passed since these photographs may have been taken. 24

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 114:

- 25 Produce for inspection all photographs of you at the convention where you achieved the
- 26 1,050,200 (the Mortgage Brokers score) score performance

27 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 114:**

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 65

MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that
has passed since these photographs may have been taken.

11

12

13

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 115:

Produce for inspection all photographs of you on the day you achieved the 1,062,800 (the Boomers score) score performance.

14 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 115</u>**:

15 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 16 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 17 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 18 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 19 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 20 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 21 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 22 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 23 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

24 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that

25 has passed since these photographs may have been taken.

26 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 116:

- 27 Produce for inspection all video recordings of you achieving your 1,047,200 (the King of
- 28Kong "tape") Donkey Kong score performance.4866-9453-7509.166

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 116:

2 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 3 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 4 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 5 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 6 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 7 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 8 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

9 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 10 11 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession as the original videotape was lost by Propounding Party. 12

13

14

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 117:

Produce for inspection all video recordings of you achieving your 1,050,200 (the Mortgage 15 Brokers score) score performance.

16 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 117:**

17 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 18 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 19 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 20 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 21 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 22 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of 23 Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

24 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 25 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 26 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession as the original videotape was 27 lost by Propounding Party.

28

4866-9453-7509.1

67

FOR PRODUCT RESPONSE **OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC**

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 118:

2 Produce for inspection all video recordings of you achieving your 1,062,800 (the Boomers
3 score) score performance.

4 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 118</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession as the original videotape was
lost by Propounding Party.

16 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 119:

Produce for inspection all video recordings of you at the convention where you achieved
the 1,050,200 (the Mortgage Brokers score) score performance

19 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 119</u>**:

20 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 21 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 22 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 23 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 24 25 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 27 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 28 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF P**

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that
 has passed since these video recordings may have been taken.

3 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 120**:

4 Produce for inspection all video recordings of you on the day you achieved the 1,062,800
5 (the Boomers score) score performance.

6 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 120</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that
has passed since these video recordings may have been taken.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 121**:

Produce for inspection all video recordings of you at Arcade Game Sales from January
20 | 2018 through April 2018.

21 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 121</u>**:

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 23 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 28 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

5 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 122**:

6 Produce for inspection all DOCUMENTS related to your appearance in any film released
7 in the last 20 years.

8 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 122</u>**:

9 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 10 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 11 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 12 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 13 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 14 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 15 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 16 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

17 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 123:

4866-9453-7509.1

Produce for inspection all photographs with you and Walter Day from 1982 to the present.

19 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 123**:

20 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 21 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 22 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected 24 25 by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 27 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 28 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession due to the length of time that
 has passed since these video recordings may have been taken.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 124:

4 Produce for inspection all photographs with you and Todd Rogers from 1982 to April
5 2018.

6 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 124</u>**:

7 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 8 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 9 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 10 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 11 12 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 13 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 14 § 1; Vallev Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

18 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 125**:

Produce for inspection all photographs with you and Kimberly Mahony from 1999 to April
20 | 2018.

21 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 125**:

22 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 23 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 28 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 4866-9453-7509.1 TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF P** OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 || § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested document or thing has never existed.

5 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 126:

6 Produce for inspection all video recordings with you and Walter Day from 1982 to the
7 present.

8 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 126</u>**:

9 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 10 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 11 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 12 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 13 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 14 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 15 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 16 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

19 requested document or thing has never existed.

20 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 127:

Produce for inspection all video recordings with you and Todd Rogers from 1982 to April
2018.

23 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 127</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is
 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 <u>4866-9453-7509.1</u> 72
 RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

4 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
5 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
6 requested document or thing has never existed.

DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 128:

8 Produce for inspection all video recordings with you and Kimberly Mahony from 1999 to
9 April 2018.

10 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 128**:

11 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 12 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 13 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 14 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 15 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 16 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 17 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 18 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 19 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

20 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

21 requested document or thing has never existed.

22 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 129:

- Produce for inspection all video recordings with you and Robert Childs from 1982 to the
 present.
- 25 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 129**:
- 26 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
- 27 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is
- 28overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
4866-9453-7509.173

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
 § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

6 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
7 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
8 requested document or thing has never existed.

9 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 130:

Produce for inspection all photographs with you and Robert Childs from 1982 to April2018.

12 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 130</u>**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in his possession due to the length of time that
has passed since these photographs may have been taken.
DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 131:

4 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 131:

25 Produce for inspection all video recordings of you at any convention where you mention or
26 talk about the dispute over your Donkey Kong score performances.

27 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 131</u>**:

28 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 4866-9453-7509.1 74

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is
overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

8 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 132**:

9 Produce for inspection all DOCUMENTS relating to the payment to you for your
10 appearance at any show or convention from January 1, 2015, through the present.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 132:

12 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 13 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 14 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 15 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 16 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 17 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 18 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 19 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 133:** 20 21 Produce for inspection all medical records dated January 1, 2015, to the present.

22 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 133</u>**:

23 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 24 25 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 26 27 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 28 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 4866-9453-7509.1 MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION **RESPONSE OF PI**

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

1 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

5 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 134:

6 Produce for inspection all DOCUMENTS related to any litigation against any person you
7 sued for defamation from January 1, 2018 through the present.

8 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 134</u>**:

9 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 10 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 11 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 12 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 13 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 14 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 15 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is 16 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence.

17 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 135:

18

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 7.

19 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 135**:

20 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 21 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 22 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 24 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 25 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 27 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

 28
 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

 4866-9453-7509.1
 76

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC 1 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

2 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 136**:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 8.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 136:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

15 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 137:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 9.

17 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 137**:

18 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 19 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 20 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 21 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 22 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 23 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 24 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 25 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control 26 27 that it believes are responsive to this Request, except for the two referee testimonials by Todd

28Rogers and Kimberly Mahoney and the videotape of the 1,062,800 point Donkey Kong Arcade4866-9453-7509.177

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

16

3

1 world record as they are not within his custody, possession, or control.

2 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 138**:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 10.

<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 138</u>:

5 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 6 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 7 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 8 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 9 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 10 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 11 § 1; Vallev Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 12 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: Responding

13 Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control that it

14 believes are responsive to this Request.

15 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 139:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 11.

17 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 139**:

18 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 19 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 20 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 21 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 22 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Vallev Bank of 23 24 *Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 25 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:

26 Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the

- 27 requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession as Responding Party donated
- 28all actual physical awards or plaques obtained from his videogame career to the International
4866-9453-7509.178

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

16

3

1 Videogame Hall of Fame located in Ottumwa, Iowa.

2 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 140**:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 12.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 140:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information protected
by Responding Party's constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; *Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court* (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Upon a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Responding Party is unable to comply because the
requested items no longer exist, or are no longer in your possession as Responding Party donated
all actual physical awards or plaques obtained from his videogame career to the International
Videogame Hall of Fame located in Ottumwa, Iowa.

17 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 141:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 26.

19 **<u>RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 141</u>**:

20 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 21 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 22 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 23 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 24 25 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. 26 27 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds: 28 Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control

18

4866-9453-7509.1

3

1 that it believes are responsive to this Request.

2 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 142**:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 27.

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 142:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome,
oppressive and harassing. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to
identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to
this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party
and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of
Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I,
§ 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Responding Party responds:
Responding Party will produce all non-privileged documents in its custody, possession, or control
that it believes are responsive to this Request.

15 DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 143:

Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 67.

17 **RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 143**:

Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 18 19 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 20 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 21 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 22 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 23 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 24 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 25 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is 26 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence. 27 **DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 144:**

28Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in your response to Special Interrogatory No. 70.4866-9453-7509.180

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

16

3

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4866-9453-7509.1

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF

RESPONSE TO DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION NO. 144:

2 Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overbroad, burdensome, 3 oppressive and harassing. Responding Party also objects on the grounds that the Request is 4 overbroad as to time. Responding Party objects to this Request on the grounds that it fails to 5 identify the requested documents with sufficient particularity. Responding Party further objects to 6 this Request to the extent that it seeks documents already in the possession of Responding Party 7 and/or equally, if not more available to them. The Request improperly seeks information of 8 Responding Party and a third-party protected by constitutional right of privacy. Cal. Const. Art. I, 9 § 1; Valley Bank of Nevada v. Superior Court (1975) 15 Cal. 3d 652, 656. Further, the Request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence. 10

12 DATED: August 24, 2022

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

By:

81

OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC

WILLIAM JAMES

purpangleil

Anthony J. Ellrod Linna T. Loangkote Attorneys for Plaintiff WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL

MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

1	VERIFICATION
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3 4	I have read the foregoing RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES, LLC and know its contents.
5	I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
6	
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
8 9	Executed on August 23, 2022, at Hollywood, Florida.
10	
11	WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELLWilliam Q. MitchellPrint Name of SignatorySignature
12	Print Name of Signatory Signature
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	
20	

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP Altorers at Law

1	<u>PROOF OF SERVICE</u>
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3 4	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 S. Figueroa St, 15th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017-3012.
5	On August 24, 2022, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as
6	RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL TO FIRST REQUEST FOF PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT TWIN GALAXIES LLC on the interested parties in this action as follows:
7	David Tashroudian, Esq. Attorney for Defendants
8	Mona Tashroudian, Esq. TASHROUDIAN LAW GROUP, APC
)	12400 Ventura Blvd. Suite 300 Studio City, CA 91604
)	Telephone: (818) 561-7381
	Facsimile: (818) 561-7381 Email: <u>david@tashlawgroup.com</u>
2	Email: <u>mona@tashlawgroup.com</u>
3	BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the
ŀ	persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester LLP for collecting and processing correspondence for
5	mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. Th
7	envelope was placed in the mail at Los Angeles, California.
8	BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address shc@manningllp.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the
9	transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
) l	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
2	Executed on August 24, 2022, at Los Angeles, California.
3	Sto
1	Steve Chang
5	
5	
7	
3	4866-9453-7509.1 82
	$0 \angle$

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP ATTORED ALLOW