1 2 3 4 5 6	Anthony J. Ellrod (State Bar No. 136574) tony.ellrod@manningkass.com Kristina Ross (State Bar No. 325440) kristina.ross@manningkass.com MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP 801 S. Figueroa St, 15 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-3012 Telephone: (213) 624-6900 Facsimile: (213) 624-6999	Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 3/11/2024 6:46 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By V. Sino-Cruz, Deputy Clerk			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT				
10					
11	WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL,	Case No. 19STCV12592			
12	Plaintiff,	[Hon. Hon. Wendy Chang, Department 36]			
13	V.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO			
14	TWIN GALAXIES, LLC,	SEAL RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENFORCE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO C.C.P. SECTION 664.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF KRISTINA ROSS			
15	Defendants.				
16					
17		[Filed concurrently with [PROPOSED] Order,			
18		Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement; Notice of Lodging; [PROPOSED]			
19		Order re Motion to Enforce]			
20		Date: May 30, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m.			
21		Dept.: 36			
22		Reservation No.: 485151508422			
23					
24	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, THE PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:				
25	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on May 30, 2024 or as soon thereafter as counsel may be				
26	heard, in Department 36 of the above-captioned Court, Plaintiff WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL				
27	("Plaintiff") will and hereby does move this Court for an Order to seal: (1) the unredacted version				

of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

("C.C.P.") Section 664.6 ("Motion to Enforce"); (2) the unredacted version of the Declaration of Kristina Ross in support of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce; and (3) the unredacted Exhibits in support of the portions of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce.

This motion is made pursuant to California Rules of Court ("CRC"), Rules 2.550 and 2.551 on the grounds that Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the Settlement Agreement concerns the settlement agreement ("Settlement Agreement") in the above-entitled and for reasons set forth fully in the concurrent Motion to Enforce the portions redacted should be sealed. Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce cannot properly be brought without making references to the terms of Settlement Agreement, thereby requiring that it be filed under seal. If the portions of the Motion to Enforce the Settlement Agreement and exhibits filed conditionally under seal are not sealed, there is a substantial probability that the parties overriding interest in maintaining the settlement terms will be prejudiced as noted in the concurrently filed Motion to Enforce.

This Motion is also brought on the grounds that an overriding interest in protecting the terms of the Settlement Agreement overcomes the right of public access to this record, the proposed sealing is narrowly tailored to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and other issues that are protected under the protective order in this matter, and there is no less restrictive means that exist to achieve this overriding interest.

This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the supporting Declaration of Kristina Ross, the concurrently filed Motion to Enforce, all of the pleadings, files, and records in this proceeding, all other matters of which the Court may take judicial notice, and any argument or evidence that may be presented at or before hearing on this Motion.

DATED: March 11, 2024 MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

By:

Anthony J. Ellrod Kristina Ross Attorneys for Plaintiff

WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

This case arises from defamatory statements made by Defendant regarding Plaintiff's achievement of certain world records in video gaming. On April 11, 2019, Plaintiff filed this lawsuit against Defendant, and subsequently filed a First Amended Complaint on March 12, 2020, setting out two causes of action: (1) Defamation; and (2) False Light. At the center of the action are public statements made by Twin Galaxies on April 12, 2018, that Plaintiff had achieved his long-standing world record video game scores by cheating. Based on these allegations Defendant stripped Plaintiff of those records and forever banned him from submitting further records as of April 2018. Declaration of Kristina Ross ("Ross Decl.") ¶ 3.

On January 10, 2024, the parties entered into a written settlement agreement ("Settlement Agreement") and counsel of record for the parties appeared in Court on January 11, 2024 and advised the Court of the settlement. The parties and counsel filed a stipulation for the Court to retain jurisdiction to enforce that settlement under C.C.P. §664.6 on January 11, 2024. Ross Decl. ¶ 4.

Plaintiff has filed concurrently a Motion to Enforce the Settlement Agreement ("Motion to Enforce") as Defendant has breached the Settlement Agreement as set forth more fully in the concurrently filed Motion to Enforce. As the entire basis of the Motion to Enforce is predicated on the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Motion to Enforce cannot be properly brought without referring and citing to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and without attaching the Settlement Agreement as an exhibit. Ross Decl. ¶ 5.

Thus, Plaintiff brings the instant Motion and respectfully requests the Court seal the requested portions of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce, as well as corresponding declarations, exhibits, and proposed orders in support of that motion.

II. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

A. The Court Has Authority to Seal the Redacted Portions of the Motion to Enforce

California Rules of Court, Rule 2.551 provides that a party may request that a record be filed under seal by filing a noticed motion or application for an order sealing that record. C.R.C

2.551(b)(1); See Nixon v. Warner Comm'n Inc. (1978) 435 U.S. 589, 598 ("Every court has a supervisory power over its own records and files, and access has been denied where court files might become a vehicle for improper purpose.")

Following a hearing, the Court can enter an order directing the sealing of documents that contain material which needs to be placed under seal. The Court may order that a record be filed under seal if it finds facts that establish:

- "(1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
- (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
- (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest."

Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.550(d); see also *In re Providian Credit Card Cases* (2002) 96 Cal.App.4th 292, 299-301; *NBC Subsidiary (KNBC-TV), Inc. v. Superior Court* (1999) 20 Cal.4th 1178, 1211. Additionally, a Court has discretion in whether to seal court documents. *People v. Jackson* (2005) 128 Cal.App.4th 1009, 1019.

In this matter, the interests served by sealing the redacted portions of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce and supporting documents that were lodged conditionally under seal override the right of public access to that information as detailed more thoroughly in the Motion to Enforce and below.

B. The Parties' Interest in the Redacted Portions of the Motion to Enforce Being Sealed Overcomes the Public's Right of Access to the Records and Supports the Court's Order to Seal

The right of public access to court records is not an absolute right, and the decision as to access "is one best left to the sound discretion of the trial court . . . in light of the relevant facts and circumstances of the particular case." *See Nixon*, supra, 435 U.S. at 98. Considering the relevant facts and circumstances of this case, there are multiple overriding interests that would overcome a right of public access to the settlement terms: (1) overriding public policy promoting settlements, (2) concerns for the protection and privacy of the parties and witnesses related to this case, and (3)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

concerns regarding the parties' privacy.

Firstly, it is a well-established principle that settlements of litigation are favored and should be encouraged. See Villa v. Cole (1992) 4 Cal. App. 4th 1327, 1338; Fisher v. Superior Court (1980) 103 Cal.App.3d 434, 440.

Secondly, this Court is already aware of the substantial interest in this case and corresponding aggressive and fanatical behavior by members of the public. Indeed, based upon evidence of party and witness harassment this Court issued its own protective order that all discovery in the case is confidential.

Thirdly, a party's financial privacy concerns, alone, can be an overriding interest that overcomes right of public access. See Carmel-bv-the-Sea v. Young (1970) 2 Cal.3d 259, 268 (holding that the protection of one's financial affairs against public disclosure is justified under the Fourth Amendment.).

Here, the parties entered into a Settlement Agreement, the terms of which are detailed in the Motion to Enforce. There is an overriding interest in the sealing the redacted portions of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce and supporting documents that were lodged conditionally under seal as it would be contrary to California law to not seal the requested portions of the Motion to Enforce and contrary to the parties intentions. See Hinshaw, Winkler, Draa, Marsh & Still v. Superior Court (1986) 51 Cal.App.4th 233, 241; NBC, 20 Cal.4th at 1222; Publicker Ind., Inc. v. Cohen (1984) 733 F.2d 1059, 1073.

As this Court is well aware this case has garnered notoriety and many aspects have been made public such that a full protective order was in place over any and all discovery in the matter. The public has no legitimate interest in the information detailed in the Motion to Enforce regarding the Settlement Agreement that outweighs the privacy concerns in this matter.

Finally, the proposed sealing is narrowly tailored to only sealing the portions of the Motion to Enforce that detail or reference the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the corresponding declarations and exhibits, as well as any reference to discovery in this matter due to the prior protective order issued. Due to the Motion to Enforce being based upon the Settlement Agreement itself, there is no less restrictive means for Plaintiff to properly bring the motion and allow the Court to properly rule on it.

The parties explicitly agreed to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Court should grant this Motion and order the redacted portions of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce sealed.

C. The Redacted Portions of the Motion to Enforce Should Not be Revealed in Open Court

Plaintiff additionally respectfully requests to seal any and all references to the redacted portions of the Motion to Enforce in any court transcript or other papers and requests that said portions therefore only be discussed *in camera* such that they are not revealed in open court. In the alternative, Plaintiff requests that any non-involved persons, excluding court staff, be asked to leave the courtroom during any hearings where the redacted portions of the Motion to Enforce will be revealed and discussed, and that the Court order all parties present to keep such information confidential. This will allow the Court to be fully informed of the issues and the parties to argue their points without jeopardizing the parties' rights.

Plaintiff believes this to be necessary as at the last court hearing on this matter on January 11, 2024, a reporter was in the audience and requested comment from Plaintiff and counsel and as noted this case has garnered notoriety and any information revealed is likely to end up widely disseminated on the internet.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Motion be granted and that the Court order the following as sealed: (1) the unredacted version of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure ("C.C.P.") Section 664.6 ("Motion to Enforce"); (2) the unredacted version of the Declaration of Kristina Ross in support of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce; and (3) the unredacted Exhibits in support of the portions of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce.

27 11/

///

28 //

MANNING | KASS

1	Additionally, that the Court order the hearing on this matter as to discussion of the redacted			
2	portions of the Motion to Enforce be conducted in camera, or in the alternative, without any non-			
3	3 involved persons aside from court staff to lea	involved persons aside from court staff to leave the courtroom and any parties present to keep the		
4	4 information confidential.			
5	5			
6	ELI	NNING & KASS LROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP		
7		OG D		
8	D			
10		Anthony J. Ellrod Kristina Ross		
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff		
12		WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25	25			
26	26			
27	27			

DECLARATION OF KRISTINA ROSS

I, KRISTINA ROSS, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before all the courts of the State of California, and am an associate of the law firm of Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP, attorneys of record for Plaintiff WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL ("Plaintiff").
- 2. If called upon to testify as to the matters herein related, I could and would competently do so based upon my review of the litigation file herein and my personal participation as one of the attorneys of record herein.
- 3. This case arises from defamatory statements made by Defendant regarding Plaintiff's achievement of certain world records in video gaming. On April 11, 2019, Plaintiff filed this lawsuit against Defendant, and subsequently filed a First Amended Complaint on March 12, 2020, setting out two causes of action: (1) Defamation; and (2) False Light. At the center of the action are public statements made by Twin Galaxies on April 12, 2018, that Plaintiff had achieved his long-standing world record video game scores by cheating. Based on these allegations Defendant stripped Plaintiff of those records and forever banned him from submitting further records as of April 2018.
- 4. On January 10, 2024, the parties entered into a written settlement agreement ("Settlement Agreement") and counsel of record for the parties appeared in Court on January 11, 2024 and advised the Court of the settlement. The parties and counsel filed a stipulation for the Court to retain jurisdiction to enforce that settlement under C.C.P. §664.6 on January 11, 2024
- 5. Plaintiff has filed concurrently a Motion to Enforce the Settlement Agreement ("Motion to Enforce") as Defendant has breached the Settlement Agreement as set forth more fully in the concurrently filed Motion to Enforce. As the entire basis of the Motion to Enforce is predicated on the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Motion to Enforce cannot be properly brought without referring and citing to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and without attaching the Settlement Agreement as an exhibit.

///

28 | /

MANNING | KASS

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this March 11, 2024, at Los Angeles, California.

Kristina Ross

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 S. Figueroa St, 15th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017-3012.

On March 11, 2024, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO SEAL RE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ENFORCE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO C.C.P. SECTION 664.6; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF KRISTINA ROSS on the interested parties in this action as follows:

8 David Tashroudian, Esq.
9 TASHROUDIAN LAW GROUP, APC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Attorney for Defendants, TWIN GALAXIES

7 TASHROUDIAN LAW GROUP, AI 12400 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 300 Studio City, CA 91604

T: (818) 561-7381 F: (818) 561-7381

Email: <u>david@tashlawgroup.com</u> <u>mona@tashlawgroup.com</u>

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address rhea.mercado@manningkass.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 11, 2024, at Los Angeles, California.

Rhea Mercado

2728

Court Reservation Receipt

Reservation Reservation ID: Status: 485151508422 **RESERVED** Reservation Type: Motion to Seal (Motion to Seal Re Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement Pursuant to C.C.P. Section 664.6; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Number of Motions: Declaration of Kristina Ross) 1 Case Number: Case Title: 19STCV12592 WILLIAM JAMES MITCHELL vs TWIN GALEXIES, LLC Filing Party: Location: William James Mitchell (Plaintiff) Stanley Mosk Courthouse - Department 36 Date/Time: Confirmation Code: May 30th 2024, 8:30AM CR-K3CTHEYCVLWTFIOYM

Fees				
Description	Fee	Qty	Amount	
Motion to Seal (name extension)	0.00	1	0.00	
TOTAL				

Payment

Amount: Type: NOFEE

◆ Back to Main

🖴 Print Page